

# Telecon - PVP for Menveo, August 10, 2009

## - Menveo

- System Info - 101802 SWEET, EBONY 10-Aug-2009 12:39:36 SWEETEB  
RECORD OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATION  
Submission Type: Original Application Submission ID: 125300/0 Office: OVRP  
Product:  
Meningococcal ACWY Conjugate Vaccine  
Applicant:  
Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.  
Telecon Date/Time: 10-AUG-2009 09:49 AM Initiated by FDA? Yes  
Telephone Number:  
Communication Category(ies):  
1. Advice  
2. Information Request  
Author: CARA FIORE  
Telecon Summary:  
PVP for Menveo; See EDR for details  
FDA Participants:  
Non-FDA Participants:  
Trans-BLA Group: No  
Related STNs: None  
Related PMCs: None  
Telecon Body:  
**From:** Fiore, Cara  
**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2009 9:49 AM  
**To:** 'christopher.webster@novartis.com'  
**Cc:** Valenti, Elizabeth  
**Subject:** PVP for Menveo  
Hi Chris,  
Please see comments below for your pharmacovigilance plan.

In general, we concur with the use of a self-controlled case series method for evaluating post-marketing adverse events after MenACWY. When you submit your protocol for CBER review, please address the following comments:

1. Please propose clinically meaningful (recognizing power constraints) endpoints/criteria for evaluating each EOI and EOI grouping (e.g., the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval for relative incidence (risk/control interval) is  $\leq 1.00$ ).
2. Please clarify your plans for analyzing individual EOIs within an EOI group (e.g., encephalitis within the new onset neurological events grouping).
3. Please specify the timeframe for risk and control intervals for each EOI.
4. Please clarify the risk interval duration definition (e.g., time from vaccination to onset of the first symptom related to the specific EOI).

5. Please comment on how a new suspected EOI (i.e., not included in the initial protocol) could be evaluated using the same study framework.
6. Please comment on how you would evaluate safety in ages outside of the inclusion criterion age range of 11-19 years if the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices expands the recommended age for routinely receiving meningococcal conjugate vaccine.
7. Please propose a deadline for submission of the final study report.
8. Please provide interim study reports at least annually within each annual periodic adverse experience report (PAER) until the final study report is submitted to CBER.
9. Please specify procedures and thresholds for early analysis and reporting to CBER (e.g., for a serious unexpected adverse event with a high relative incidence).

Cara Fiore, Ph D

Division of Vaccines and Related Products Applications

Office of Vaccines Research & Review

Center for Biologics Evaluation & Research

U.S. Food & Drug Administration

WOC1 RM300N HFM-481

1401 Rockville Pike

Rockville, MD 20852